1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	AT TAC	
8	GALP SIERRA VISTA LP, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. C10-5647 BHS
10	v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO QUASH
11	RSUI INDEMNITY COMPANY,	
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion to quash subpoenas (Dkt.	
15	113). The Court has considered the pleadings filed in support of and in opposition to the	
16	motion and the remainder of the file and hereby denies the motion for the reasons stated	
17	herein.	
18	On October 12, 2012, Defendants obtained deposition subpoenas from the United	
19	States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. Dkt. 114, Exh. J. On October	
20	18, 2012, Plaintiffs moved to quash those subpoenas. Dkt. 113.	
21	The Court is without jurisdiction to quash subpoenas issued by the Texas court.	
22	S.E.C. v. CMKM Diamonds, Inc., 656 F.3d 829	9, 832 (9th Cir. 2011) ("we must hold that

1	the court that issued the subpoena, and not the court where the underlying action is	
2	pending, can entertain a motion to quash or modify a subpoena."). Plaintiffs' motion is	
3	without merit. Therefore, the Court denies the motion to quash the Texas subpoenas.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated this 5 th day of November, 2012.	
6		
7	l l	
8	Doy \ Soute	
9	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		